# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Anna Boixassa,<sup>a</sup> Rene Mathieu,<sup>b</sup>\* Noël Lugan,<sup>b</sup> Josefina Pons<sup>a</sup> and Josep Ros<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departament de Química, Unitat Química Inorgànica, Facultat de Ciències, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra-Cerdanyola, Barcelona, Spain, and <sup>b</sup>Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination du CNRS, 205, route de Narbonne, 31077 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

Correspondence e-mail: mathieu@lcc-toulouse.fr

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.117 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# Aqua( $\eta^4$ -1,5-cyclooctadiene)[1-(2-methoxyethoxymethyl)-3,5-dimethylpyrazole]rhodium(I) tetrafluoroborate

The title complex,  $[Rh(C_8H_{12})(C_9H_{16}N_2O_2)(H_2O)](BF_4)$  or  $[RhL(COD)(H_2O)](BF_4)$ , is a monomer in the solid state. The Rh<sup>I</sup> centre is in a square-planar arrangement. The four coordination sites are occupied by the N atom of the pyrazole ligand, the O atom from a water molecule and the centroids of the two cyclooctadiene olefin bonds. The structure is stabilized by hydrogen bonds between the coordinated water molecule and the two O atoms of the ether groups of the pyrazole ligand and one of the F atoms of the BF<sub>4</sub> unit.

### Comment

The organic compound 1-(2-methoxyethoxymethyl)-3,5-dimethylpyrazole (*L*) is a potential polydentate ligand that can coordinate to a metal centre by the unsubstituted N atom and by the O atoms of the ether groups. The reactivity of this ligand toward [RhCl(COD)]<sub>2</sub> has been investigated with the aim of proving its chelating ability. This led to the formation of the title compound, [RhL(COD)(H<sub>2</sub>O)](BF<sub>4</sub>), (I).

# 

The rhodium complex has a square-planar geometry (Fig. 1 and Table 1), the metal centre being linked to the four olefin C atoms of the 1,5-cyclooctadiene ligand, to the non-substituted N atom of the pyrazole ring, and to the O atom of a coordinated water molecule. The Rh atom lies 0.0052 (3) Å out of the coordination plane. It thus appears that L acts as a monodentate ligand, since the O atoms of the ether groups do not participate in the ligation to the metal centre. Intramolecular hydrogen bonds are observed between atoms O10 and O13 of the pendant ether arm and the coordinated water molecule  $[O10 \cdots H1a = 2.64(3) \text{ Å} \text{ and } O13 \cdots H1a =$ 1.89 (2) Å]. As a result of these intramolecular interactions, a stable seven-membered ring including Rh1 is formed. In addition, a hydrogen bond between one of the F atoms of the BF<sub>4</sub> unit and the water molecule is also observed [F4...H1b = 1.91 (2) Å]. The Rh1–N2 bond length in (I) [2.103 (3) Å] is equal, within experimental error, to the Rh-N bond length

Received 17 July 2003 Accepted 22 July 2003 Online 31 July 2003



 $D_r = 1.575 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Cell parameters from 8000

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

 $\mu = 0.86 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 293 (2) K

Block, yellow

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.027$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.1^{\circ}$ 

 $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$ 

 $k = -28 \rightarrow 27$ 

 $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$ 

 $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$  mm

3630 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $\theta = 2.1 - 26.1^{\circ}$ 



### Figure 1

The structure of the title compound, showing the numbering scheme, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

[2.109 (9) Å] found in the parent compound [Rh(COD)Cl(dmpz)] (dmpz = 3,5-dimethylpyrazole) (Decker et al., 1983). This Rh-N distance is also comparable to other reported values for Rh complexes containing 1,5-cyclooctadiene and pyrazole chelate ligands [2.097 (7)–2.216 (8) Å; Oro et al., 1984; Schnyder et al., 1997; Mathieu et al., 2001; Boixassa et al., 2003]. The Rh1-O1 bond length in (I) [2.127 (3) Å] compares well with the corresponding Rh-O<sub>water</sub> distances in several Rh<sup>I</sup>-aqua complexes found in the literature, including  $[Rh(PPh_3)_2(CO)(H_2O)]^+ \cdot ClO_4^- \cdot CH_2Cl_2$  [2.127 (4) Å; Cross et al., 1993]. Finally, as observed in the crystal structure of the parent complex [RhCl(COD)(dmpz)] (Decker et al., 1983), the mean plane of the heterocycle is almost perpendicular to the Rh coordination mean plane [ $89.83 (13)^{\circ}$ ].

# **Experimental**

The ligand 1-(2-methoxyethoxymethyl)-3,5-dimethylpyrazole (*L*) was synthesized by dropwise addition of methoxyethoxymethyl chloride (2.47 g, 19 mmol) to a solution of 3,5-dimethylpyrazole (1.68 g, 17 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 ml) at 273 K, in the presence of triethylamine (2.71 g, 27 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. The ammonium salt and the amine were extracted with water ( $2 \times 15$  ml). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The dichloromethane was removed under reduced pressure, yielding *L* as a pale yellow oil (yield: 3.03 g, 95%). The title compound, (I), was prepared as follows: AgBF<sub>4</sub> (0.065 g, 0.34 mmol) was added to a solution of [RhCl(COD)]<sub>2</sub> (0.082 g, 0.17 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (20 ml). After stirring for 30 min, the white precipitate of AgCl was filtered off. To the filtered

yellow solution, *L* (0.061 g, 0.34 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, yielding a yellow oil. The oil was dissolved in a dichloromethane/diethyl ether mixture and small yellow crystals of the complex [Rh*L*(COD)(H<sub>2</sub>O)](BF<sub>4</sub>) were obtained upon standing at room temperature. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, p.p.m.):  $\delta$  6.05 (*s*, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>O), 5.93 [*s*, 1H, CH (pyrazole)], 4.07 (*br*, 2H, H<sub>2</sub>O), 3.75 [*br*, 4H, CH (COD)], 3.70 (*m*, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.49 (*m*, 2H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.25 (*s*, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.76 (*s*, 3H, CCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.51 [*b*, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> (COD)], 2.32 (*s*, 3H, CCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.80 [*m*, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> (COD)]. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, p.p.m.):  $\delta$  151.9 (CCH<sub>3</sub>), 144.9 (CCH<sub>3</sub>), 108.4 [CH (pyrazole)], 78.1 [CH (COD)], 77.4 (NCH<sub>2</sub>O), 71.5 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 68.3 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 59.1 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 30.5 [CH<sub>2</sub> (COD)], 14.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 11.6 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Analysis calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Rh (*M<sub>r</sub>* = 500.15) (%): C 40.82, H 6.05, N 5.60; found: C 40.39, H 6.16, N 5.35.

# Crystal data

 $[Rh(C_8H_{12})(C_9H_{16}N_2O_2)-(H_2O)](BF_4)$   $M_r = 500.15$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 10.0023 (10) Å b = 23.2203 (17) Å c = 9.2977 (10) Å  $\beta = 102.368 (12)^{\circ}$  V = 2109.3 (4) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

#### Data collection

Stoe IPDS diffractometer  $\varphi$  scans Absorption correction: refined from  $\Delta F$  (Parkin *et al.*, 1995)  $T_{\min} = 0.776$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.837$ 16245 measured reflections 4070 independent reflections

#### Refinement

 Refinement on  $F^2$   $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0689P)^2$ 
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$  w + 4.0982P] 

  $wR(F^2) = 0.117$  where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  

 S = 0.99  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$  

 4070 reflections
  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.90$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

 260 parameters
  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.68$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

 H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
  $e^{-3}$ 

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Rh1-O1	2.123 (3)	Rh1-C23	2.127 (3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Rh1-N2	2.103 (3)	Rh1-C26	2.104 (4)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Rh1-C22	2.126 (4)	Rh1-C27	2.101 (4)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\Omega_1 = Rh_1 = N_2$	88.99 (12)	C23_Rh1_C27	96 61 (15)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O1-Rh1-C22	94.45 (14)	$C_{20} = Rh1 = C_{27}$ $C_{26} = Rh1 = C_{27}$	38.77 (16)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O1-Rh1-C23	90.33 (13)	Rh1-N2-N1	123.1 (2)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O1-Rh1-C26	158.08 (15)	Rh1-N2-C5	130.1 (3)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	O1-Rh1-C27	163.13 (14)	Rh1-C22-C21	112.4 (3)
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} N2-Rh1-C23 & 162.80 & (13) & Rh1-C23-C22 & 71.0 & (2) \\ N2-Rh1-C26 & 92.26 & (15) & Rh1-C23-C24 & 110.9 & (3) \\ N2-Rh1-C27 & 88.83 & (14) & Rh1-C26-C25 & 112.6 & (3) \\ C22-Rh1-C23 & 37.89 & (15) & Rh1-C26-C27 & 70.5 & (2) \\ C22-Rh1-C26 & 92.09 & (16) & Rh1-C27-C26 & 70.7 & (2) \\ C22-Rh1-C27 & 81.95 & (15) & Rh1-C27-C28 & 111.7 & (3) \\ C23-Rh1-C26 & 82.09 & (16) \\ \end{array} $	N2-Rh1-C22	159.22 (13)	Rh1-C22-C23	71.1 (2)
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} N2-Rh1-C26 & 92.26 \ (15) & Rh1-C23-C24 & 110.9 \ (3) \\ N2-Rh1-C27 & 88.83 \ (14) & Rh1-C26-C25 & 112.6 \ (3) \\ C22-Rh1-C23 & 37.89 \ (15) & Rh1-C26-C27 & 70.5 \ (2) \\ C22-Rh1-C26 & 92.09 \ (16) & Rh1-C27-C26 & 70.7 \ (2) \\ C22-Rh1-C27 & 81.95 \ (15) & Rh1-C27-C28 & 111.7 \ (3) \\ C23-Rh1-C26 & 82.09 \ (16) \\ \end{array} $	N2-Rh1-C23	162.80 (13)	Rh1-C23-C22	71.0 (2)
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} N2-Rh1-C27 & 88.83 \left(14\right) & Rh1-C26-C25 & 112.6 \left(3\right) \\ C22-Rh1-C23 & 37.89 \left(15\right) & Rh1-C26-C27 & 70.5 \left(2\right) \\ C22-Rh1-C26 & 92.09 \left(16\right) & Rh1-C27-C26 & 70.7 \left(2\right) \\ C22-Rh1-C27 & 81.95 \left(15\right) & Rh1-C27-C28 & 111.7 \left(3\right) \\ C23-Rh1-C26 & 82.09 \left(16\right) \\ \end{array} $	N2-Rh1-C26	92.26 (15)	Rh1-C23-C24	110.9 (3)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C22-Rh1-C23 & 37.89 \left(15\right) & Rh1-C26-C27 & 70.5 \left(2\right) \\ C22-Rh1-C26 & 92.09 \left(16\right) & Rh1-C27-C26 & 70.7 \left(2\right) \\ C22-Rh1-C27 & 81.95 \left(15\right) & Rh1-C27-C28 & 111.7 \left(3\right) \\ C23-Rh1-C26 & 82.09 \left(16\right) & & \\ \end{array}$	N2-Rh1-C27	88.83 (14)	Rh1-C26-C25	112.6 (3)
C22-Rh1-C26         92.09 (16)         Rh1-C27-C26         70.7 (2)           C22-Rh1-C27         81.95 (15)         Rh1-C27-C28         111.7 (3)           C23-Rh1-C26         82.09 (16)         111.7 (3)	C22-Rh1-C23	37.89 (15)	Rh1-C26-C27	70.5 (2)
C22-Rh1-C27         81.95 (15)         Rh1-C27-C28         111.7 (3)           C23-Rh1-C26         82.09 (16)         111.7 (3)	C22-Rh1-C26	92.09 (16)	Rh1-C27-C26	70.7 (2)
C23-Rh1-C26 82.09 (16)	C22-Rh1-C27	81.95 (15)	Rh1-C27-C28	111.7 (3)
	C23-Rh1-C26	82.09 (16)		

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} O1 - H1a \cdots O10 \\ O1 - H1a \cdots O13 \\ O1 - H1b \cdots F4 \end{array}$	0.852 (18)	2.64 (3)	3.166 (4)	121 (3)
	0.852 (18)	1.89 (2)	2.729 (5)	167 (4)
	0.845 (18)	1.91 (2)	2.731 (5)	164 (4)

H atoms attached to C atoms were introduced at calculated positions as riding atoms, with C–H bond lengths of 0.93 (CH), 0.97 (CH<sub>2</sub>) and 0.97 Å (CH<sub>3</sub>), and an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.2 times  $U_{eq}$  of the parent atom. The H atoms of the water molecule were located at the final stage of the refinement using the program *HYDROGEN* (Nardelli, 1999); their isotropic displacement parameters were set to 1.2 times  $U_{eq}$  of the O atom and they were held fixed during the final refinement.

Data collection: *IPDS* (Stoe & Cie, 2000); cell refinement: *IPDS*; data reduction: *X-RED* (Stoe & Cie, 1996); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

Support by the CNRS of France, the Ministerio de Educación y Cultura of Spain (project BQU2000-0238) and the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (grant to AB) are gratefully acknowledged.

# References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.
- Boixassa, A., Mathieu, R., Lugan, N., Pons, J. & Ros, J. (2003). J. Organomet. Chem. Submitted.
- Cross, R. J., Kennedy, A. R., Manojlovic Muir, L. & Muir, K. W. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1146–1148.
- Decker, M. J., Fjeldsted, D. O. K., Stobart, S. R. & Zaworotko, M. J. (1983). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1525–1527.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Mathieu, R., Esquius, G., Lugan, N., Pons, J. & Ros, J. (2001). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 2683–2688.
- Nardelli, M. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 563-571.
- Oro, L. A., Esteban, M., Claramunt, R. M., Elguero, J., Foces-Foces, C. & Cano, F. H. (1984). J. Organomet. Chem. 276, 79–97.
- Parkin, S., Moezzi, B. & Hope, H. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 53-56.
- Schnyder, A., Togni, A. & Wiesli, U. (1997). Organometallics, 16, 255-260.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1996). X-RED. Version 1.08. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (2000). *IPDS Manual*. Version 2.93. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.